

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 10/554,046 Applicant(s) VERNON ET AL.
Examiner BLESSING FUBARA		Art Unit 1613

All Participants:

(1) BLESSING FUBARA

(2) Peter Malen

Date of Interview: 23 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Written description.

Claims discussed:
Pending Claims

Prior art documents discussed:
NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Blessing M. Fubara/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1613

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner attempted to find from applicant's attorney support for the introduction on 04/01/2010 of the administration of the embolizing composition down stream from the blocked flow, the introduction of which is not described or envisioned by the as filed specification. However, the attorney was not available.